UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

RITLABS, S.R.L.,

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,

٧.

Case No.: 1:12-cv-00215 Hon. Anthony J. Trenga

RITLABS, INC., et. al.

Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff.

COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO COUNTER-PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS

NOW COMES Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, RitLabs, S.R.L., by and through its attorneys Traverse Legal, PLC and I.S. Law Firm, P.C., and states for its Answer to Counter-Plaintiff's Counterclaims the following:

<u>ANSWER</u>

- 149. Admitted as to the incorporation date of RitLabs, Inc., but Counter-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny that Counter-Plaintiff's principal place of business is Alexandria, Virginia and leaves Counter-Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 150. Counter-Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny Counter-Plaintiff Demcenko's immigration and residence status and leaves Counter-Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 151. Admitted.

	152.	Admitted as to the incorporation date of RitLabs, Inc., but Counter-
Defen	ndant la	cks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny that Counter-Plaintiff
Demo	enko is	the sole shareholder of RitLabs, Inc.

- 153. Denied.
- 154. Denied.
- 155. Denied.
- 156. Denied.
- 157. Denied.
- 158. Admitted as to the existence of the general meeting of the members, but denied as to the other listed allegations.
- 159. Admitted as to the appointment of Mr. Masiutin, but denied as to the other listed allegations.
 - 160. Admitted.
 - 161. Admitted.
- 162. Counter-Plaintiff's allegation lacks the specificity necessary for Counter-Defendant to admit or deny Counter-Plaintiff's claim and, therefore, Counter-Defendant leaves Counter-Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 163. Admitted.
 - 164. Admitted.
 - 165. Denied.
 - 166. Denied.
 - 167. Denied
 - 168. Denied

169. Denied

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(Breach of Contract)

170. No answer is necessary.
171. Denied.
172. Denied.
173. Denied.
174. Denied.
175. Denied.
176. Denied.
177. Denied.
178. Denied.
179. Denied.
SECOND COUNTERCLAIM

(Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)
180. No answer is necessary.

THIRD COUNTERCLAIM

(Tortious Interference with Contract)

184. No answer is necessary.

181. Denied.

182. Denied.

183. Denied.

185.	Denied.		
186.	Denied.		
187.	Denied.		
188.	Denied.		
189.	Denied.		
190.	Denied.		
191.	Denied.		
192.	Denied.		
	FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM		
(Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage)			
193.	No answer is necessary.		
194.	Denied.		
195.	Denied.		
196.	Denied.		
197.	Denied.		
198.	Denied.		
199.	Denied.		
200.	Denied.		
FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM			
(Negligent Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage)			
201.	No answer is necessary.		
202.	Denied.		
203.	Denied.		

204. Denied. 205. Denied. 206. Denied. SIXTH COUNTERCLAIM (Commercial Disparagement) 207. No answer is necessary 208. Denied. 209. Denied. 210. Denied. 211. Denied. 212. Denied. **SEVENTH COUNTERCLAIM** (Conversion) 213. No answer is necessary. 214. Denied. 215. Denied. 216. Denied. 217. Denied.

COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because they have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

- Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because the purported License Agreement referenced by Counter-Plaintiffs' causes of action was obtained by fraud.
- 3. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because the purported License Agreement referenced by Counter-Plaintiffs' causes of action failed for want of consideration.
- 4. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Plaintiffs' claims are subject to the defense of laches.
- 5. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Plaintiffs' claims are subject to the defense of waiver.
- 6. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Plaintiffs' claims are subject to the defense of estoppel.
- 7. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Plaintiffs' have unclean hands.
- 8. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Defendant has a right to setoff.
- 9. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because Counter-Plaintiffs' claims are precluded by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 10. Counter-Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief as to their Seventh Counter-Claim because Counter-Plaintiffs Amended Counter-Claims were filed after Counter-Defendant filed its responsive pleading without leave of Court, in violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392 of United Food & Commercial Workers Int'l Union, 10 F.3d 1064,

1068, n1 (4th Cir. 1993). ("This court recognizes that, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 (a), a complaint may be amended without leave of the court when the defendant has not filed a responsive pleading.").

11. Counter-Defendant reserves the right to add additional affirmative defenses as they become known through discovery.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of May, 2012.

RITLABS, S.R.L.

/s/

J. Andrew Baxter
Virginia Bar No. 78275
Counsel for Plaintiff (Local)
I.S. Law Firm, PLLC
1199 N. Fairfax St., Suite 702
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 527-1779 Telephone
(703) 778-0369 Facsimile
jabaxter@islawfirm.com

/s/

Mark G. Clark
Michigan Bar No. P41652
John Di Giacomo
Michigan Bar No. P73056
Counsel for Plaintiff (Foreign)
Traverse Legal, PLC
810 Cottageview Drive, Unit G-20
Traverse City, MI 49684
(231) 932-0411 Telephone
(231) 932-0636 Facsimile
Mark@traverselegal.com
John@traverselegal.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of May, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing **COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO COUNTER-PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System on:

KEVIN GARDEN
INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELS, P.C.
Attorney for Defendants
901 North Pitt Street
Suite 325
Alexandria, VA 22314
United States of America
info@legal-counsels.com

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of May, 2012.

RITLABS, S.R.L.

By: /s/
J. Andrew Baxter
Virginia Bar No. 78275
Counsel for Plaintiff (Local)
I.S. Law Firm, PLLC
1199 N. Fairfax St., Suite 702
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 527-1779 Telephone
(703) 778-0369 Facsimile
jabaxter@islawfirm.com